



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,102	01/31/2001	Lisa S. Martin	M-9863 US DC-02830	1750
33438	7590	05/19/2004	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP P.O. BOX 203518 AUSTIN, TX 78720			JASMIN, LYNDIA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/773,102

Applicant(s)

MARTIN ET AL.

Examiner

Lynda Jasmin

Art Unit

3627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 03, 2004 has been entered.

Supplemental amendment received March 13, 2004 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3627

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aram (2002/0072986 A1), in view of Tanaka et al. (5,946,663).

Aram discloses a method of ordering and assembling material, comprising: considering a quantity of a material (via suppliers inventory of items, goods, parts and/or sub-assemblies and the like) available from a plurality of suppliers (102) via a computer system, considering a quantity of a material available from a plurality of supplier logistics centers (via distributor 104) via a computer system, identifying a supplier or a supplier logistics center to receive an order for the material based upon the considering (via determining the stock level held by relevant supplier or elsewhere; see box 109), and sending electronically an order for the material to the supplier or supplier logistics center identified to receive the order (via emailing the supplier to alert the supplier to a newly logged order; see box 0112). Aram further discloses customers sending order electronically to distributor, and the supplier logistics center (via distributor's warehouse) receiving the material from a supplier (via distributor suppliers or other suppliers).

However, Aram fails to disclose that the material is not ordered until a manufacturer realizes a demand, and a manufacturer realizes the demand for the material after orders are received from customers fulfilling the orders requires assembling the products and assembling the products requires the material.

Tanaka et al. discloses a method and system of planning a production schedule with the concept of rapidly plan a production schedule of operating processes with

Art Unit: 3627

having a completed product stock allocating step of allocating order information to completed product stock information, and determining an order which is to be subjected to production (hereinafter, such an order is referred to as "order to be produced").

Tanaka et al. further discloses the concept of considering delivery time in the operation request and support an early delivery in response to an order.

From this teaching of Tanaka et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modify the method of ordering goods from a distributor in Aram to include the planning and scheduling of product manufacturing from specific order as taught by Tanaka et al. in order to facilitate production of materials without keeping a large inventory at a processing factory.

5. Claims 4, 10, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aram in view of Tanaka, as applied to claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 and further in view of Peterson et al. (6,539,360 B1)

The Aram and Tanaka et al. combination discloses the elements of the claimed invention, but fails to explicitly disclose taking title/ownership by the manufacturer to a material after the material is shipped by a supplier.

Peterson et al. discloses the concept of where suppliers are authorized by the manufacturer of an item to distribute the item.

From this teaching of Peterson et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of ordering and distributing goods of the Aram and Tanaka et al. combination to include

Art Unit: 3627

the manufacturing product ownership taught by Peterson et al. in order to provide complete access of product inventory by a manufacturer.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13 are 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johnson et al. discloses a Just in Time inventory management system, which determines which items in JIT invention are likely to require replenishment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Lynda Jasmin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3627

lj